# **Cyber-Physical Systems Security**

Alvaro A. Cárdenas Department of Computer Science University of Texas at Dallas

# Modernization of our Physical Infrastructures

Physical Systems are Being Modernized with New Technologies



Standards: Wireless HART (IEC), ISA SP 100.11a, IETF 6LoWPAN, ROLL, CoRE, Eman, LWIP, IRTF IoT, W3C EIX, IEEE 802.15.4 (g), 802.15.5, etc.

# Typical Example: Smart Grid



# First Success Story of Sensor Networks

- SCADA systems:
  - Improve monitoring
  - Situational awareness
- Cost-effective solution





# **Cyber-Physical Systems**

- By embedding instrumentation in buildings, vehicles, factories, power grid, we are creating Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS):
  - Smart sensing + actuation
  - CPS systems are IT systems that interact with the physical world



# Cyber-physical systems

- Control
- Computation
- Communication
- Interdisciplinary Research!



# Why is Security Important Now? New Vulnerabilities & Threats

- Controllers are computers (from Relays to MCUs)
  - Can be programmed to do anything!
- Networked
  - Sensors and actuators can be accessed remotely
- Commodity IT solutions
  - Well known generic vulnerabilities are widely available
  - Some technologies are even insecure by design!
- New functionalities
  - New vulnerabilities (e.g. privacy problems with fine-grained monitoring)
- More devices (IoT)
  - Easier to find a vulnerable device
- Highly skilled IT global workforce
  - Creating exploits (and using them) is now easier than ever!

# Vulnerabilities can be Exploited

2000 Maroochy Shire sewage

control system.



#### 2011 HVAC



#### 2012 Smart Meters



#### FBI: Smart Meter Hacks Likely to Spread

39

A series of hacks perpetrated against so-called "smart meter" installations over the past several years may have cost a single U.S. electric utility hundreds of millions of dollars annually, the **FBI** said in a cyber intelligence bulletin obtained by



# Cyberattack on German steel factory causes 'massive damage'



By Loek Essers IDG News Service | December 19, 2014

MORE GOOD READS

First Stuxnet victims were five Irar

A German steel factory suffered massive damage after hackers managed to access production networks, allowing them to tamper with the controls of a blast furnace, the government said in its annual IT security report.

Due to these failures, one of the plant's blast furnaces could not be shut down in a controlled manner, which resulted in "massive damage to plant," the BSI said, describing the technical skills of the attacker as "very advanced."

# Stuxnet

- First PLC trojan
- Stolen certificates
- False commands to centrifuges
- False commands to supervisory network
- Uranium enrichment in Natanz plant in Iran





# INSIDE THE CUNNING, UNPRECEDENTED HACK OF UKRAINE'S POWER GRID

### **SCADA Hijacking Techniques**



The attackers develop two SCADA Hijack approaches (one custom and one agnostic) and successfully used them across different types of SCADA/DMS implementations at three companies



## Intrusion Detection for IoT



## **Network Intrusion Detection**



# Deep-Packet Inspection for Industrial Control Protocols

#### Scapy parser for Modbus



Large Variety of Industrial Control Protocols-Few Parsers, Semantic Info, Closed

 Modbus/TCP DNP3 BACnet

• S7

- Profinet

- EtherNet/IP
  EtherCAT
  WirelessHART
  - ISA 100



# We Need to Monitor Field Networks

It is easier to deploy monitors in the Supervisory Network:

-highly structured info (easier to understand)

-mirror ports

BUT

Compromised PLC can send malicious data to the field and report that everything is normal to supervisory network



## Developing Monitors at the Field Level (SWaT Testbed in SUTD)



# We Need to Monitor the Physics of The System

- Protocol specification/patterns correct but false info
- Physical systems follow immutable laws of nature
  - Fluid dynamics (water systems) or Electrodynamics (power grid) used to create time-series models
- These models can be used to check
  - If control commands were executed correctly
  - Sensor values are consistent with expected behavior



# LDS Model for Raw Water Tank



$$\frac{dV_i}{dt} = A_i \frac{dh_i}{dt} = Q_{i,in} - Q_{i,out}$$
$$h_{k+1} = h_k + \frac{Q_{i,k} - Q_{o,k}}{A}$$

20

## Implementing the Attack and the



## Problem: We Can Always Create Attacks That Are Detected



# Undetected Attacks to Water Testbed

